## **EXHIBIT 2**

4	
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
3	Alexandria Division
4	x
5	ROSY GIRON DE REYES, :
6	et al.,
7	Plaintiffs, : Civil No.:
8	v. : 1:16cv563-TSE-TCB
9	WAPLES MOBILE HOME PARK LIMITED :
10	PARTNERSHIP, :
11	et al.,
12	Defendants. :
13	x
14	Videoconference Deposition of
15	WILLIAM A.V. CLARK, Ph.D.
16	McLean, Virginia
17	Thursday, December 22, 2016
18	4:05 p.m.
19	
20	Job No: 130604
21	Pages: 1 - 87
22	Reported by: Kelly Carnegie, CSR, RPR

26

1 subject was an attempt to estimate the undocumented 2 population in a particular geographical area? 3 There may be other publications which have 4 certainly been involved with discussions of the 5 undocumented population. Your specific question 6 about whether I have estimated it for specific 7 areas, I don't believe that I have articles that 8 have done that. 9 Have you engaged in that type of analysis 10 as an expert witness on any occasion other than this 11 case? 12 Α I believe it was part of the Koreatown 13 study. We were concerned with people who were 14 documented or not, but I don't think that that 15 became an essential part of that case. 16 In the reports in this case, Professor 17 Clark, there's a term that's used called "margin of 18 error." Can you define that term for me. 19 When statisticians and demographers make 20 estimates using samples, they recognize that there 21 is some -- because it's not a count, there is some 22 error in the result, and we provide a range around

32

1 So what I'm trying to get at is in making 2 those comparisons, what factors do you as a 3 demographer look at to determine the difference in 4 the margin of error in that situation? 5 Α I'm sorry. I just don't understand your 6 The margin of error is the margin of 7 error which you calculate at the national level and 8 the local level. That's it. 9 Would you agree that the Census Bureau, 10 for example, when it goes from the national level 11 down to smaller geographical areas, its margin of 12 error increases? 13 Α Yes. 14 So is that typical, that when you go from 15 a larger to a smaller geographical area, the margin 16 of error increases? 17 There are a number of assumptions in your 18 statement. It would only increase if you hold the 19 sample size constant. 20 When you say hold the sample size 21 constant, what do you mean? 22 Well, you have three million at the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

#### Transcript of William A.V. Clark, Ph.D. Conducted on December 22, 2016

33

national level, you have some smaller number at the local level, and we've agreed that the margin of error would be greater at the smaller area than at the national. In attempting to estimate the undocumented population as we've defined it, are there particular challenges to that type of estimate as opposed to estimating another segment of the population? I believe that's true. 10 What are the difficulties, if you will, in 11 estimating the undocumented population? 12 Α Well, because they're undocumented, some 13 of them prefer not to be measured in census 14 estimations. So getting an accurate count is more 15 difficult for a population that is less willing, 16 less wanting to be measured. 17 As a demographer, how do you deal with 18 that? 19 Well, there's a huge literature and it's 20 been discussed at length, and both Dr. Weinberg and 21 I reference some of the important people, Fasel, 22 Warren, Word, all these people, Peter Morrison, who

# Transcript of William A.V. Clark, Ph.D. Conducted on December 22, 2016

	have worked on this project of how to estimate the
	undocumented population, and now the demographers at
	The Center for Migration Studies have done a very
	good job of coming up with pretty good estimates of
	the national and local undocumented populations.
	Q So The Center for Migration Studies has
	estimated the undocumented population at the
	national level for the United States, correct?
	A Yes.
)	Q Is it also true that CMS, who is I'll
.	refer to them as The Center for Migration Studies
2	has acknowledged a nine percent margin of error with
3	respect to its estimate of the undocumented
ļ.	population at the national level?
5	A That's correct.
5	Q Has CMS estimated the margin of error for
,	its estimates at smaller geographical areas such as
}	a state?
)	A They have not.
)	Q Do you know why they have not done that?
	A I think the they say it's difficult
)	enough to try and get estimates of the undocumented
- 1	ı en

35

1 population. Putting margins of error on this is 2 difficult -- a difficult process. Why they actually 3 didn't do it, they don't say in their report. 4 hasten to add that that material has just come out, 5 and I understand, but this is hearsay, that they may 6 attempt to provide margins of error. 7 Would you expect the margin of error for 8 the undocumented population to be higher at the 9 state level as opposed to the national estimate from 10 CMS? 11 Would I expect the margin of error to be Α 12 higher? 13 Yes, at the state level. 14 Depending on the state, possibly. I don't Α 15 think it would necessarily be any higher in 16 California, but it's possible. 17 What factors would you consider in 18 determining whether the margin of error at the state 19 level is higher than the nine percent margin of 20 error at the national level of CMS estimates? 21 I'm not sure I understand where you're Α 22 going with your question, but it seems to me we've

1	Q In his calculation, Dr. Weinberg took into
2	account the CMS margin of error at the national
3	level of nine percent, correct?
4	A And then he multiplied it up.
5	Q Right. But he took into account the nine
6	percent margin of error that CMS admits to with
7	respect to its national estimates, correct?
8	A Yes.
9	Q You ignored that, correct?
10	A I didn't ignore it. I said that this is
11	the best estimate we have. There is no margin of
12	error provided by CMS. He made a number of
13	assumptions about that for which I can find no
14	basis.
15	Q Well, isn't his assumption pretty
16	straightforward? He started at a national margin of
17	error and took that down to a census tract level?
18	A Not if you just multiplied up by some
19	number, which has no basis that I can find.
20	Q So you don't recall that his basis was
21	looking at the number of foreign-born nationals from
22	the census data?
	I

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

### Transcript of William A.V. Clark, Ph.D. Conducted on December 22, 2016

78

He uses that, but that's -- but there's no justification for it. And we're getting away from the point. You're focusing on margins of error, and I keep needing to remind you that we do have a point estimate here. That's the issue. There is an issue of what the margin of error should be, but the point estimate, which both Dr. Weinberg and I got, is a good estimate for the number of undocumented in the census tract. And that I think is the end of the discussion, really, because we've got a point estimate. Perhaps the margin of error should be larger, but the margin of error only gives us a sense of where the point estimate lies. Think of it again, as I said, as a bell curve. Multiple samples will produce most of the results near the point estimate. But in order to determine whether a point estimate is reliable, you have to consider the margin of error, correct? You can consider the margin of error. gives you a range in which the point estimate could

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

## Transcript of William A.V. Clark, Ph.D. Conducted on December 22, 2016

79 We've already established the point estimate of undocumented Hispanics in the census tract is not zero, but that's what Dr. Weinberg is claiming. Q What Dr. --So that makes his result nonsensical. Isn't he demonstrating that the estimate has no reliability with his margin of error? Α No, no. He's demonstrating that you could get a point estimate of zero under his discussion. That's not possible. Let me ask you this question. Α The margin of error --Go ahead. 0 The margin of error includes all point Α estimates. As a demographer, can you accept an estimate as reliable without knowing the margin of error associated with the estimate? You can accept the point estimate. is a margin of error around it. You may not know exactly what the margin of error is. You can still

accept the point estimate. We accept them all the

1	time. Demographers accept estimates of income, of
2	the proportion of women with levels of fertility.
3	We accept point estimates all the time, both
4	professionally and in the lay public. We don't
5	always have point we don't in fact, we often
6	do not have margins of error, but we accept them.
7	Q Why does the Census Bureau attach a margin
8	of error to all of its estimates if it's unnecessary
9	to determine the reliability of the estimate?
10	A I didn't say that. The Census Bureau in
11	its great care with lots of mathematical
12	statisticians is concerned to give a range around
13	which their point estimates lie. They want people
14	to be aware that the estimate is somewhere in this
15	range.
16	Q Isn't it true the Census Bureau also wants
17	individuals to be aware that the estimate may not be
18	reliable?
19	A I'm not sure that's a correct statement
20	about the Census Bureau, but we have to see what
21	their documentation says on that.
22	Q Based on your experience, do you

81

1 understand that that's the reason they provide a 2 margin of error, to allow others to assess the 3 reliability of their estimates? 4 That's one of the reasons they do it, and 5 I've used what I believe is a reliable piece of 6 their margins of error, that is, the margin of error 7 for the census tract, which is quite large, 26 percent, for my margin of error for the undocumented 8 9 population. If we're talking only about the 10 Hispanic population, that margin of error is in ACS. 11 The only question is whether it applies to make an 12 estimate of the undocumented population. I believe 13 it does give us the best estimate. 14 But in coming to your conclusions, you 15 relied upon the CMS data at the PUMA level, correct? 16 To estimate the number of undocumenteds in 17 that tract, I deduced it from the PUMA. 18 But recall again that the other data at 19 the county level is confirmatory of my result at the 20 local level. The fact that I'm getting something 21 similar gives me a great deal of confidence in that 22 If the value of the census tract level was

82

1 wildly different from the county level, I would be 2 concerned about my point estimate, but I'm not. 3 In relying upon the PUMA CMS estimate, you 4 do not know what the margin of error is for that 5 estimate, correct? 6 I think that question has been asked at 7 least twice before, and I've answered. We don't 8 know the margin of error for the CMS data. CMS did 9 not provide margins of error at the PUMA level. 10 How can you as a demographer determine 11 whether their estimate is reliable or not? 12 Α They have gone through a complicated 13 process of taking the national data, positing it out 14 to state and to local areas. This is, as mine, the 15 best estimate of the number of undocumented. 16 is a large team of demographers and statisticians 17 produced this data. It is publicly available now 18 I believe it is as reliable data as we can 19 get about the undocumented population. 20 Whether it's the most reliable or not, how 0 21 can you determine whether it's sufficiently reliable 22 to establish, for instance, in this case as a fact

1	CERTIFICATE OF SHORTHAND REPORTER - NOTARY PUBLIC
2	I, Kelly Carnegie, Certified Shorthand
3	Reporter, Registered Professional Reporter, the
4	officer before whom the foregoing proceedings were
5	taken, do hereby certify that the foregoing
6	transcript is a true and correct record of the
7	proceedings; that said proceedings were taken by me
8	stenographically and thereafter reduced to
9	typewriting under my direction; that reading and
10	signing was requested; and that I am neither counsel
11	for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties
12	to this case and have no interest, financial or
13	otherwise, in its outcome.
14	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my
15	hand and affixed my notarial seal this 27th day of
16	December, 2016.
17	My commission expires: July 31, 2018
18	and the second s
19	Kelly Carnegia
20	NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR THE
21	COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
22	Notary Registration Number: 7060756